

EAST LONDON WASTE AUTHORITY

21 JUNE 2010

HEAD OF OPERATIONS' REPORT

WASTE MANAGEMENT – 2009/10 SUMMARY & REPORT TO APRIL 2010	FOR INFORMATION
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------

1 Purpose

- 1.1 To provide a brief summary of waste management issues for 2009/10
- 1.2 To report on the general waste management issues concerning the Authority and Boroughs for the period of April 2010.

2 2009/10 Summary

Contract waste tonnages 2009/10

- 2.1 Appendix A gives an overview of the origins of contract waste for each of the Boroughs in the ELWA area and for ELWA as a total.
- 2.2 The most positive variation for ELWA is in the amount of recycling delivered by each Borough (this category contains material from bring sites, orange bags, green waste etc). The volume of general household waste has decreased across the four Boroughs but waste collected in other categories such as bulky waste, fly tipped waste and street cleansing had increased which led to an overall increase to the volumes of collected waste.
- 2.3 Waste volumes across the Reuse & Recycling Centres (RRC) sites were generally down which may be related to the expansion of green waste collections and may have subsequently contributed to the increase in collected recycling.
- 2.4 The tonnage of recycling generated at the RRC sites improved overall from the 2008/09 position resulting in an increase from 30.3k tonnes in 2008/09 to 32.2k tonnes in 2009/10, almost 2,000 tonnes of increased recycling.

Landfill Allowances Trading Scheme (LATS) Performance 2009/10

- 2.5 The Authority's LATS allowances for 2009/10 were 211,844 tonnes. The improved diversion from landfill performance and the lower contract waste tonnages meant that the Authority only used up 175,881 tonnes (subject to ratification by the Environment Agency) of its allowances.

National Indicator Performances 2009/10

- 2.6 The table below provides a comparison of the ELWA Boroughs performance in relation to NI 191 and NI 192 and also a comparison of last years performance versus the previous year. In all cases there was an improvement in performance for NI 191 and NI 192 over the previous year.

Indicator	2008/09		2009/10	
	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual
NI 191 Residual Household waste per household (LBBD)	Not Set	860	Not set	801
NI 191 Residual Household waste per household (LBH)	830	800	809	700
NI 191 Residual Household waste per household (LBN)	1036	1001	1025	940
NI 191 Residual Household waste per household (LBR)	Not Set	746	757	711
NI 192 Household waste recycled and composted (B&D)	24%	25%	27%	32%
NI 192 Household waste recycled and composted (LBH)	27%	27%	30%	35%
NI 192 Household waste recycled and composted (LBN)	20%	16%	22%	18%
NI 192 Household waste recycled and composted (LBR)	25%	26%	28%	31%

3 2010/11 Performance against New National Performance Framework

- 3.1 The table below shows the four Boroughs' individual performance against the National Indicator Targets for NI 191 Residual household waste per household for the month of April 2010.

- 3.2 Points to note are :

- a) NI 191 Residual household waste per household – Whilst Havering were the only Constituent Council to set a target with the Government Office for London (GOL) for NI 191 the table below provides a comparison of all ELWA Constituent Councils' performance for April 2010.

Borough	Full Year NI 191 Target	April 2010 NI 191 Target (Kg)	April NI 191 Actual (Kg)
LBBD	Local target 720 kg	Local target 61 kg	77 kg
LBH	776 kg	66 kg	66 kg
LBN	Local target 972 kg	Local target 83 kg	89 kg
LBR	Local target 700 kg	Local target 60 kg	64 kg

- b) All constituent councils were required to agree targets with GOL for National Indicator 192 and the table below shows the performance for April for NI 192 Household waste recycled and composted.
- c) All figures shown in this table are provisional figures and may be subject to change following ratification by Borough Officers.

Borough	NI 192 Target (%)	NI 192 Actual (%)
LBBB	31%	29.6%
LBH	33%	34.8%
LBN	27%	14%
LBR	30%	32.9%

- d) Approximately 50% of municipal waste was diverted from landfill in April. This is in excess of ELWA's strategy target of 45% but is 9% lower than the budget figure. The reasons for the lower than anticipated performance is outlined in the contract management report elsewhere on the Agenda.

4 Background information

- 4.1 Waste arisings in April were in the region of 43.1k tonnes compared to a budgeted projection of 41.7k tonnes. This higher than expected tonnage is primarily due to higher commercial waste volumes and an increase in collected waste.

5 Markets for Recyclates

- 5.1 There have been no significant changes to the markets for recycled materials since the last report to the Authority. Further markets are being sought for SRF as outlined in the Contract Monitoring report elsewhere on the Agenda.

6 Landfill Allowance Trading Scheme (LATS) performance

- 6.1 ELWA's permitted 2010/11 LATS allowance allocation is 188,263 tonnes. As a result of the increased tonnages for April and the lower than expected diversion performance the Authority used up a higher proportion of its LATS allocation than anticipated. As this is an annual allocation it is expected that the proposed improvements outlined in the Contract Monitoring report relating to SRF markets should mean that the Authority will be within its allocation at year end.

7 Recommendations

7.1 It is recommended that Members:

- i) note this report.

Mark Ash
HEAD OF OPERATIONS

Appendices	
A	Comparison of contract waste tonnages 2008/9 Vs 2009/10
Background Papers	
None	